



SPACE TRAVEL

VIRANUS

FLYING SAUCERS

EDITOR: E. BIDDLE

Vol. 2 No. 5 (11)

April, 1956

This and That	82
Our Competition	84
Letters to the Editor	87
U.F.O.'s - Unity or "Utopia"	92
Tape Redorders	96
The Saucerian Bulletin	98

PUBLISHED EVERY SECOND MONTH - POST FREE 1/9D OR 35 CENTS

MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS LTD., 31 KINGS ROAD, LONDON, S.W.3.

THIS AND THAT
by the Editor

I regret that owing to personal circumstances it will be necessary for me to resign from the post of Editor of URANUS, probably after No. 12. I expect to be able to give you the name of my successor in the next issue.

.

In his interesting publication SAUCER NEWS, James Moseley harps continually on the idea that the F.S. are American secret devices and gives some ingenious, if unconvincing, interpretations of U.S.A.F. pronouncements. What are we to think? It is really very difficult to believe that Mr. Moseley is sincere in his advocacy of such ideas, little as I like to suggest this. Can it be that he is playing the Air Force game? Maybe time will show.

.

Some quite exciting news comes from Gray Barker, of SAUCERIAN fame. Some of the older hands in the Saucery game will recollect the investigations which G.B. made into the highly peculiar Bender affair several years ago. Most of the results of that investigation were circulated privately among a few Saucerers. The news that G.B. has a book due for publication in April naturally arouses speculation.

From what follows and other material sent by Mr. Barker, it looks as though our friend has some highly interesting matter in his book. It is best not to say much at this stage, since one obviously cannot judge a book one has not seen. All the same, there are one or two pointers which suggest it may be a mixed bag - for example, references to the Shaver drivel which was published in 1944 by Amazing Stories when that once fine magazine was at the lowest ebb of its fortunes. Anyhow,

here is the main part of the suggested blurb for the book jacket of THEY KNEW TOO MUCH ABOUT F.S.

"It is a monster that walks like a man, a creature from the blackest memory of your fears."

So does Gray Barker, prominent flying saucer researcher, begin this book with an on-the-spot account of the famed Flatwoods "monster" which landed in a spaceship and terrorized seven witnesses on a dark West Virginian hillside.

What follows is even more amazing, for it is the inside story of the author's three-year investigation of the saucer mystery, when, fired by the enigma of the Flatwood panic, he was convinced flying saucers were real and set out to find what they were and their origin.

The facts he reports are not always pleasant.

Mr. Barker becomes Chief Investigator for the International Flying Saucer Bureau of Bridgeport, Conn., the first world-wide civilian organisation which investigated the enigma. The head of the organisation suddenly finds the solution to the mystery, but before he can tell the world, three men in black suits visit him, shut down his organisation and frighten him into silence.

The author is now able to tell the inside story after acquiring secret correspondence files from an Australian organisation which was working with Bridgeport on a startling theory that might make public the location of a secret saucer operation base.

Other investigators are closed down after frightening visits, like the man named Smallwood who possesses metal from a saucer, or an Australian investigator who becomes silent after finding the terrifying answer.

THEY KNEW TOO MUCH ABOUT F.S. is a behind-the-scenes chronicle of civilian saucer research. It is an immensely readable book. We suggest you do not pick it up if you have work to do, for you will be unable to put it down until you reach the amazing climax. The book is also frightening,

for it recounts strange consequences of knowing too much about saucers, such as what happened to a man in New Zealand who was haunted by abominable stenches and eldritch bangings in the night.

Mr. Barker tells the story in such a straightforward and documentary manner the reader's first moment of incredulity will turn into disturbance as he is acquainted, page by page, with the terrifying inside facts.

... ..

Mr. Lee R. Munsdick of the North Jersey UFO Group advises us that Mr. Gray Barker will be addressing a meeting under their auspices in April. Will American readers who are interested please get in touch with Mr. Munsdick at P.O.Box 606 Morristown, New Jersey, USA.

... ..

OUR COMPETITION

This brought a very poor response - only five entries all told! Three from this country, one from the U.S.A. and one (the winner) from S. Africa.

Six people, apart from myself, read the entries and the verdict was unanimous and, incidentally, confirmed my own opinion. I took the trouble to type out all the entries and to send each of the judges a copy, without any indication as to the identity of each competitor.

The winning entry, from Prof. V. Filmer, follows:

WHAT I WOULD DO IF A SPACESHIP LANDED NEAR ME.....

If I were in the country by myself, presumably with no other human being within striking distance, and I saw a spaceship land near me I would immediately stand still if I was near enough for me to be certain I was observed or observable.

I would wait until it opened and a being emerged. If none emerged within the hour it is probable that someone else may come within hailing distance or I may be near enough to a roadway to attract attention.

Assuming it opened and something emerged I would spread my hands out slowly sideways and remain static, smile and start alternatively speaking and singing. Neither would be understood, of course, but the vibrations of both speech and singing would be, and musical vibrations have a special quality that would be appreciated by all higher forms of life. Singing, scientifically, proves ordered and controlled sounds.

If "they" were antagonistic I suppose I would quickly be "non est" but if they were friendly next thing to do would be to obtain "rapport" by any ideas that were bound to be known by both sides.

I would hold up one finger in R.H. twice and simultaneously two fingers in L.H. Then one finger 3 times and in other hand 3 simultaneously and so on.

Next, if I had paper and pencil I would draw a rough map of the solar universe, show it and mark on it our earth, then by expression question where he or it came from.

Next, I would proffer a souvenir from earth - coins, old letter in envelope, anything appropriate, remembering what is junk to us would be priceless to "them" - and by action ask for a souvenir in return. For instance, if I happened to be carrying home a new telephone directory, THAT would be irrefutable evidence to them when they carried it back home that they had visited an alien planet (ours).

Assuming that their visit was not intended to be an official one and that they were not willing to allow me to put them "en rapport" with officialdom, my whole purpose would be to obtain irrefutable evidence of an alien's visit to our earth and to help them to carry back the same to their home planet.

It seems to me that absence of such action and facts have caused all books to date alleging visitations of spaceships to be worthless. "Drawings" and "impressions" are useless; they are not of extra-terrestrial substance. "Weird" (to us) markings on our sane or earth or our paper mean nothing; man can invent all such, but their markings

on their "paper" or substance would be invaluable. Our laboratories might even prove such substance to be quite unknown on earth, thus silencing ALL critics.

- Prof. Victor Filmer, (S.Africa)

And I think the following entry written in lighter vein by my old friend Mr. Elvidge should also see the light of day!

"Suppose you were all alone in a quiet country spot miles from anywhere and a saucer hove in sight and landed near to you. Imagine a being.... what would you do?" Thus the genial editor of URANUS in his issue of July 1955. Well, then. To start with, I greatly doubt whether any one of us could be positive of how he would behave in such a novel situation, but so far as I can judge my reaction would be somewhat as follows.

The venue of the encounter being remote, I should in all probability have my car, which is pretty fast. I should hurriedly size up my visitor and try to judge whether he "toted" (I think that is the correct expression) any form of lethal weapon, whether he seemed hostile, and whether he appeared to be my physical superior. Assuming the answer to either of those questions to be in the affirmative I should not stand upon the order of my going, but go at once. I should make one dive for the car and I fear that every speed limit would go by the board. Finally, assuming I were lucky enough to escape I should make no effort at all to communicate to the world at large any word of my adventure. I should not like my sanity to be thought open to serious doubt.

So far there is no problem, but what to do if the bod were (1) unarmed, (2) obviously friendly and (3) a reasonable size? I quickly note the spot on my map. Then, gently if possible but forcibly if necessary, into the car he goes. And up to London. To Scotland Yard. Not, you notice, to the local police station, for there are few creatures, in my experience, so incredibly stupid as the average country bobby, and I should hate to see my poor passenger clapped "inside" for illegal entry or loitering with intent. To say nothing of me. But perhaps after all I wouldn't trouble Scotland Yard. A

better plan might be to head straight for 1513 High Road, Whetstone, and produce my captive on the threshold. I wager heavily that the two of us would receive a warm welcome.

- W.A.Elvidge, (London)

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

IN SUPPORT OF ADAMSKI

Dear Sir,

M. Aimé Michel's attack on Adamski is illogical and unfair - and extremely discourteous. The scientific mind will not necessarily reject a statement of experience for want of definite proof. A lot depends on consensus of observations. A lot should depend also on a witness's character and previous record. To speak of Adamski's testimony as "puerile dreams" reveals no scientific spirit but merely feelings of prejudice and ill-will. If Adamski says he has travelled in a spaceship, why should that not be true? Mr. Angelucci has given more recently, in Le Courrier Interplanétaire, an account of his own journey in a flying saucer, which personally I find highly convincing. Proof? Where external proof is wanting we must rely on the internal evidence, which can be intelligently evaluated. Otherwise, we follow the trail of the "authorities" who pooh-pooh everything they do not wish to believe or wish us to believe.

I have a better case against Adamski's Venus man on the ground of Velikowski's proof of the late birth of the planet Venus (circa 2000 B.C.) But I do not therefore accuse Adamski of being a faker and a liar. Venus may have an etheric counterpart. M. Michel need not be so impatient (see his para. 5). The Venusians, or others, have plenty of time in front of them to come across with their more serious matter, nor need we suppose that they consider themselves in any way bound to accept M. Michel's requirements. I see no trace here of that "infinite humility and prudence" which this gentleman recommends.

Yours truly, A. ARUNDEL.

Garn Dolbenmaen, Wales,
September 20th, 1955.

AND NOW THE OTHER SIDE from a well-known F.S. AUTHOR

Dear Mr. Biddle,

I have noted in your issue of September the admirable letter of Mons. Aimé Michel and as one who has been peculiarly involved in the hoaxing book to which he refers, I believe I have some claim to be heard in connection with this piece of audacity, even if, alas, I shall be forced to abandon the pellucid air or the high plane to which Mons. Michel has kept this discussion. (I do not use the word controversy; because the connotation of that word implies that the other side has its own aspect of truth.)

As he says, these flying saucer hoaxes, as embodied in three or more "books", here and in the U.S.A., tend to bring a very grave subject into disrepute and public contempt. If but one tenth of the noticias, or news, that have come my way recently from Central and South America be only ten per cent based on veridicity - and two governments have been scared by certain grave incidents involving far from harmless cosmic visitants, who, or which, have recently used, in one case, a "pain and paralysis ray"; and in the other electro-magnetic and fire-raising rays - then, at the hands of these voadores discos and platillos voladores a most serious situation may, in the not distant future, face this planet of ours.

I have recently had, from a woman in Ireland, a letter referring to Adamski which, I believe, embodies a point of view, mistaken but honest and sincere on the part of those - they are very many, here and overseas - who have been taken in by these contemptible deceptions. She says:

"His letters to me show that he (Adamski) is a very simple man (sic).. and if Adamski did not see this man from Venus in tangible form, he must have seen it in some other way. He could have imposed what he, as a sensitive, saw, on others. Couldn't he?"

My rather naive correspondent adds that she believes that Adamski made very little money out of this "book" on Flying Saucers landing from Venus. I fear that this view does very grave injustice to the business abilities of Mr. Adamski. Whatever Mr. Adamski's other qualities

may be, his business abilities are of no mean order.

By the publishers' own admissions, up to March 1955, 200,000 copies of the book had been sold in Great Britain alone, at a retail price of 12/6 net per copy. This takes no account of the very considerable sums by way of royalties and fees, derived from American and overseas sales, and from serialisations and translations. What these may be is best known to the publishers and the two authors. It is, therefore, evident that, if Adamski has had only 5 per cent of these receipts, he has been put on "easy street".

I have here, before me, a cutting from a Rhodesian newspaper of September 5th 1955, in which Adamski is stated to have told a newspaper man:

"I am shortly coming to London to persuade the Archbishop of Canterbury to tell what he knows of flying saucers."

His statement, made to this and other newspapers, is a pendant to a blurb about his latest book, said, in this newspaper, to be titled: "Inside the Space Ships". Well, his Grace, the Prince of the Anglican Church, is, as his exalted position demands, by way of a man of the world and culture; and I gravely doubt it he will lend himself to, or be drawn into, a discussion of which the real purpose needs no elucidation. I doubt also, if Mr. Adamski or his friends will find that the doors of Lambeth Palace will be opened to him for this dissemination of the "truth".

There are other trifling matters calling for comment. What institution conferred on Mr. G. Adamski this title of 'Professor'? I have been told that Adamski was, at one time, a handyman or mechanic at a well-known American observatory; but I have no information that any recommendation from its director inspired any institution to confer this title on Adamski.

Why, bless me, I might equally as well claim that a university, in Spain or Portugal, or in Latin America, has seen fit to confer on me, emeritus, or honoris causa, a doctorate or a degree, in virtue of my "valiant" contributions to the pre-history of South and Central America.

Kent, Eng. 21-9-55. Very sincerely, HAROLD T. WILKINS

I think Adamski has featured enough now in our pages. We have endeavoured to publish both sides of the question; whether it has done any good is a doubtful point. I make no secret of the fact that as regards the two preceding letters my own sympathy is 100% with Mr. Wilkins.

E.B.

The Editor, Uranus,

Dear Sir,

Whilst we are happy to discover any form of constructive criticism concerning the possibility of Cataclysm it would seem that your Reviewer, Mr. Wightman has read the booklet, "Cataclysm Has Begun", with one eye closed. It is evident that the unpleasant prospects for which data is given, have upset his proper reading and unbiased judgment: a trap into which it is too easy to fall. If Mr. Wightman had read the booklet more carefully and with the other eye open, the many misquotations and omissions he has made would have been avoided. For example, we did not say that 'the findings of the Society stem from exact calculations based on data and processes unknown to modern science' but that 'the prophecies of those men (referring to Biblical and the many seers and prophets whose work time has confirmed) were exact calculations based upon....' The Society of Metaphysicians can certainly suggest how such trends in human affairs might be predicted; in something like the manner in which a chemist can 'predict' what will happen when he mixes known chemicals together.

Again: 'a few can be saved'. This statement should have been read as 'The Holy Bible firmly predicts the end of the present era....it also states that but a few can be saved'. As an international Brotherhood seeking those who are prepared to become active in the interests of social welfare and general spiritual principles, we can testify to the apathy of the general public to matters wider than the everyday round. Needless to say, this 'apathy' (which is easily understood in terms of mental mechanisms) is the major complaint of all those who dedicate themselves to the service of others, especially, the Churches of the world. Surely Mr.

Wightman can begin to describe to others how he would assess character? Good purpose, reliability, honesty, morality etc... are self-evident aspects of those upon whom reliable people rely and love. Is it such a difficult task then, to examine the 'mechanism' of such factors and to arrive at the fundamentals of human character whereby some safe assessment might be attempted?

Why should any group or person be deterred by difficulty Mr. Wightman, when the issue for which they might be working is a vital one? Why should the problems of administration, selection, creative work and so on, make it needful for all good works to cease?

Isolated community? Again, Mr. Wightman has only half-read the text: no sane person tries to build a delicate and precious thing amidst destructive forces: no gardener trying to encourage a delicate and precious plant would leave it open to the pests and inclement weather. Likewise, no group seeking to set up a truly unbiased community would subject that community to the distortions of modern life - upon which the present series of international and other crises of this 'modern age' are developing.

Regarding the 'imminence' of atomic warfare; as already discussed, it is possible to calculate TRENDS in human affairs and it is from such calculations that crises are found in the future. No-one can say with absolute certainty that such and such a possibility will become reality; but if they can say that there is over a 60% probability of a happening becoming real then the only wise thing to do is to plan for such an eventuality amidst the many other plans for living which we all undergo. It is a sober thought to realise that the Society is not stating its own, restricted view, but the joint and considered opinion of many great men and people. The truth of potential danger to humanity at large has been constantly brought to the notice of the authorities and the public by radio and the Press. Surely, Mr. Wightman will give some small credence to such men as the late Professor Einstein? Surely, the purpose and nature of the Big Four discussions and the steady flow of data from major governments means something?

Weather: Mr. Wightman staggers along and suggests that the recent good summer in England is sufficient proof to conclude that atomic dusts do not affect the weather. Queer reasoning! If only England did have a screen around it! The weather Mr. W., is not the sole possession of England but actually extends to U.S.A., Europe and other countries! For example, whilst we were enjoying our grand summer, America was having a different kind of weather; shall we say cataclysmic weather? Again: how do you like the British winter, Mr. W., coldest for 60 years we are told? This is, of course, but another weather 'coincidence'; or could it just possibly be due to some common cause such as enhanced radioactivity of the atmosphere? It is significant to the Society that Mr. W., ignores 80% of the more technical material in the booklet and unlike Dr. Low who also reviewed this careful study, could not comprehend the firm bases upon which the probabilities discussed rest.

Yours faithfully,

for SOCIETY OF METAPHYSICIANS, Ltd.,

Hastings, Eng.,
15th Feb. 1956

John J. Williamson,
Founder-President.

(It has been necessary to abbreviate this letter slightly owing to lack of space. No essential argument has been omitted.)

U.F.O.'s - UNITY or "UTOPIA"
by John Pitt

Eight years ago, when the first series of Flying Saucer sightings heralded this present-day controversy, there was really more unanimity than there is today. People in all walks of life became interested in these UFO's and, for the most part, their interest was aimed specifically at the problem of discovering what they are and from whence they come.

In 1955 we must face up to the fact that there is no unity any longer. There are two factions, one still interested in the original mystery, the other for some time possessed of all the "facts" and now more busily

engaged in spreading the doctrine supposedly brought to Terra by the beings who man Flying Saucers. For convenience I shall call these factions "UFO-ery" and "Saucery".

In the former school of thought it is still debatable whether Saucers are man-made on this planet or man-made on another or others. Those who favour the notion of Saucers from Outer Space are divided again between those who are prepared to accept the intelligences navigating these spacecraft as human beings, humanoid beings, or just "Things" from Outer Space. The only point upon which all are agreed is that whosoever does fly these strange machines can be assumed to be of very high intelligence indeed. I presume that it is also agreed that these craft are manufactured and flown by the same race of creature.

In "Saucery" there are similar divisions of opinion. There is an infinite variety of apparently credible material, much of which cancels out equally "factual" data; the material has been supplied from Outer Space, the Astral plane, by Telepathy, and I fear by not a few harmless but wool headed Idealists. Here the point of interest is no longer the object of the original study, viz: Flying Saucers, but is directed and focussed upon a medley of "Teachings" and other tit-bits of gratuitous advice supplied by "extra-Terrestrials". So much ado is made of this verbal instruction that "Saucery" is fast becoming a Space-Cult.

This sharp division between UFO-ery and Saucery must baffle the newcomer to the Flying Saucer problem proper. Judging from the reaction of Press and Public, the whole Saucer subject is quickly replacing all the older Music Hall jokes. It is interesting to note in this connection that anything that has to be dismissed so lightly has also an undercurrent of fear. People are still interested in the initial mysteries of Why, Whence and How, but also they are afraid.

In this age of unrest the race of Mankind has been traumatized by a succession of Wars great and small. The advances (?) of Science have so badly scared us all that we are ready to grasp almost any philosophy which promises to smooth out our difficulties, and which offers hope where only fear seems to reign. Those

readers who show an interest in such matters will doubtless recognize the birth-pains of a new age. Others who have studied the history of religion will have noticed how Mankind has outgrown the theologies of the Middle Ages and now finds insufficient to his needs the empty promises of the hard-dying popular orthodox religions of yesteryear. In short, the whole stage is being set for a vast Spiritual upheaval. This, I think explains the increase of the "Saucerer" mentality, and indicates also that even had there been no Flying Saucers the "Saucerer" mind would have gravitated in a like manner to one or another Cult.

You may ask what my last paragraph has to do with the problems concerning the origin and nature of Saucers. It has everything to do with it as I see it. It does not, however, indicate that Saucers do not exist, they are concrete and I believe physical realities. What does stand out as plain as a pikestaff is the fact that muddled-headedness has confused reality, in the shape of aerial phenomena, to un-reality in the shape of "Saucery".

If we recall that the only real argument against the obvious and popular suggestion that Saucers are made somewhere here on this planet, is that their speed and manouvrability seems to preclude their being flown by human beings, we must not forget that they may possibly be remotely controlled. Indications in the past have led many of us to speculate whether Flying Saucers were not in the process of being developed as guided missiles in World War II and may have been flying ever since. The recent releases in the USA of information concerning discoid flying machines further make us wonder whether these rumoured developments have not been perfected during the eleven-odd years since the time when disc-like flying machines were allegedly made by the Germans, a fact which might explain everything! In this connection I note that Dr. Wernher von Braum denied that such experiments had been made and that many people have overlooked the fact that he is at present working for the US Government and under circumstances wherein it may not have been possible for him to tell the whole truth for the sake only of Security.

That is, of course, just one side of the Earth theory for the Saucers. It must be taken into consideration also

that if another power as great as the USA had developed such machines that people could be excused if they wondered why their money was being spent on out-moded aircraft, or why there had, as yet, been no indication that guided interceptory missiles could not or even had not been produced to match the performance of these UFO's.

But back again to "Saucery" where such problems have been discarded long ago in favour of Spiritual upliftment by vagrant extra-Terrestrial Messiahs. I do not intend to list the doubtless well-thumbed Saucer classics, but would remind those who have read them that all that glitters is not gold. Insufficient attention has been paid to the means by which this hodge-podge of mixed Astral and Telepathic "Teaching" has been communicated. Even less attention seems to have been paid to the psychological make-up of those who claim to be the chosen mouthpieces of these avuncular Spacemen. I do not propose to suggest ways and means for those who are sceptical to find out more about these "mouthpieces", but can say that my own research into this field has convinced me that there is more to "Saucery" than meets the eye. The most I will commit myself is by saying that if Spacemen exist and do talk as they are supposed to do, then I am more than ever convinced that they do not exist other than as misleading and mischievous Astral entities.

In my opinion, and in the opinion of quite a number of my more level-headed acquaintances, this "Saucery" element is doing a great deal of harm to the less idealistic and material field of UFO-ery. I have a considerable number of friends who are Spiritualist and they feel that the incursion of "Saucery" into Spiritualism has been a bad thing for them also. In fact I cannot think of any body of people, other than "Saucerers" themselves, who consider that this Cult has anything but a bad effect on the "logies" and "isms" whose beliefs and doctrines it has borrowed, or into whose provinces they have thrust their way. I wonder how many readers have considered this business of infiltration? It makes one suspect that "Saucery" has not so much been influenced by exterior "logies" and "isms", but rather that it has offered various tit-bits to the over-credulous in these existing

organisations in order to seduce part of their interest and to lend some measure of substance to itself. This is again a conclusion which can better be drawn by readers who have at some time made a study of such interesting sidelines as Theosophy, Radiesthesia, Hypnotism, Telepathy and Occultism. Those readers who know a little of these subjects will perhaps understand my point.

Finally, I cannot but be amused when I compare the steady and rather unrewarding plodding of the UFO-ers who, trying to sift all their information, hope to gain an insight into the mysteries of Saucers, to the almost unlimited amount of gratuitous and mealy-mouthed platitudes which emanate so gaily from these so-called Higher Intelligences or "Elder Brothers" from Outer Space. I am further amused when I see that UFO-ery tends to unify the Saucer problem, and that "Saucery" stifles itself so often cancelling itself out.

TAPE RECORDERS

(We print in the following a letter from one of our American correspondents which managed to get misfiled and overlooked at the time. The principle it raises is an interesting one, although perhaps better known in the United States than here as the tape recorder is still a trifle on the expensive side for the average British household, particularly in these times. However, anybody who is interested should write directly to Mr. Dittmar or Mr. Henriksen at the addresses given. Markham House Press have sufficient United States unused postage stamps to cover one such effort, if interested please write direct to them. Ed.)

Dear Mr. Biddle, 7th December, 1955.

In your July issue of URANUS I note quite a controversy regarding Mr. George Adamski's new book "Inside the Space Ships". On page 3 there is the invitation to enter a contest on "What Would You Do?" etc. I didn't enter the contest but I do want to enter a suggestion that might clear up a great deal in the minds of readers all over the world. That suggestion is to listen to the words

of Adamski himself; and this is now possible by means of the tape recorder. He has prepared a series of tape-recorded lectures, and these may be had free-of-charge made on your own tape. We can read all we want, but to listen to the man speak for himself is another thing; I believe that saucer investigators will welcome this opportunity. This is what they must do:

- 1) Send a written request to either :-
Dr.A.G.Dittmar, Au Sable Forks, New York, USA., or
Mr.Henry M.Henriksen, 1312 Grove Avenue, Racine 4,
Wisconsin, USA.
- 2) Send a plastic tape having 45 minutes playing time.
- 3) State speed at which it is to be made. State name of your recorder if USA made; if recorder head does not conform to USA standards ask for full-track and send request to H. Henriksen.
- 4) State size of listening audience.
- 5) Send sufficient to cover return postage and correspondence.

It is urged that as many people as possible be gathered to hear these tapes although requests from individuals and smaller groups will be honoured.

Thank you for any publicity that you will give this in your next issue of URANUS. Keep up your good work.

Sincerely,
A.G.DITTMAR, D.D.S.

Dear Sir,

I should have thanked you much earlier for sending me your astonishingly interesting URANUS. May I congratulate you on a job well done.

In fact, your magazine is of much higher standard than most other Saucerian publications I know of in several languages. I particularly appreciate its open minded attitude.

As I do not think you have much opportunity of reading LE PROVENCAL or other leading French Provincial papers, I thought you might like to get cuttings of four articles I recently wrote on certain aspects of the UFO problem. If only for your files. (A summary of these will be

given in our next issue. Ed.)

Should you find time to look at them you will note that:

1. for my argumentation, with few rare exceptions, I used only well known Saucerian sources, in an effort to be as objective as possible,
2. chance so ordained it that - at the time of writing these articles - I witnessed an "unexplained celestial phenomenon (visiting my mother who lives in the West of France).
3. In my opinion, Shakespeare's lines do remarkably apply to the UFO's: there are more things in heaven than are dreamt of in our philosophy, and no single "explanation" however seductive can account for them all.

Now to speak of less important matters, in regard of René Fouéré's article: F.S. or Disc-beings, allow me to point out as a matter of fact that the "French introducer" of Ray Z. Gallun's "novel" (which is only a "story", in Astounding, September 1936, page 48) A BEAST OF THE VOID, is no one else but yours truly. And a further fact is that besides a fascinating hypothesis, there was a nice alliteration in French between "Soucoupes Volantes" and "Soucoupes Vivantes", which in itself is rather pleasant.

Sincerely yours,

GEORGES H. GALLET.

Paris.

THE SAUCERIAN BULLETIN

For months we have been receiving enquiries from readers as to the fate of the SAUCERIAN, edited by Mr. Gray Barker, whose literary activities are referred to on another page.

We have now received No. 1 of the Saucerian Review, dated 1st March, a six page offset bulletin which replaces the Saucerian which Mr. Barker was unable to continue owing to the large amount of work involved. The subscription rate is \$2.50 for six issues for abroad.

Mr. Barker also announces the forthcoming publication of the "Saucerian Review" at \$1.50, containing 100 pages

of reports on what happened in the saucer world in 1955. A small supply of copies will shortly be available for our readers.

CAN ANY READER HELP US?

Some time ago we received a Money Order for \$1.50 from a Mr. R. F. Moyer, purchased at Pico Heights Station, Los Angeles. Unfortunately we can do nothing about this as we have no idea of Mr. Moyer's address or even what he wants from us. We have waited in vain for instructions of some kind but as these have not arrived we can only appeal to readers in Los Angeles for assistance to trace this elusive client.

We have the following magazine sets still available

NEXUS now SAUCER NEWS	No 12-Vol 2	No 6) for
	No 11-Vol 2	No 5	
CRIFCO now ORBIT	Vol 1 Nos 9,10 & 12) for	25/-
	Vol 2 Nos 1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10		

MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS LTD.,
31 KINGS ROAD, LONDON, S.W.3.

SAUCERS Leading U.S. Quarterly.
10/- for 4 issues

December 1955 now available, also back issues!
Vol I, 3: Vol II, 2,3,4,: Vol III, 1, 2, 3.

AUSTRALIAN F.S. JOURNAL
8/- for 4 issues (quarterly)
In Dollar Zone \$1.50 for 5 issues
Third and Fourth numbers for 1955 just arrived

MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS LTD.,
31 KINGS ROAD, LONDON, S.W.3

CURRENT FLYING SAUCER LITERATURE AVAILABLE

From Markham House Press Ltd.,
31 Kings Road, London, S.W.3

Published in English

Post Free

Adamski - Inside the Space Ships	16/-	-
Allingham, C. - F.S. from Mars 1954	11/6	or £1.80
Biometric Analysis of F.S. Photographs 1954	5/9	or £1.00
Caidin, Martin - Rockets Beyond the Earth	19/-	or £3.00
Cramp, L.G. - Space, Gravity and the F.S. 1954	11/6	or £1.80
Fort, C. - Complete Works (1125 pages) U.S. Edition	£2. 12. 3d.	
Girvan, W. - F.S. & Commonsense 1955	11/6	or £1.80
Guieu, Jimmy - F.S. Come from another World	13/6	or £2.00
Jessup, M.K. - U.F.O. 1955	16/-	-
Keyhoe, D.E. - F.S. from Outer Space 1954	11/6	or £1.80
Last, C.E. - Man in the Universe	11/6	or £1.80
Leslie, D. & Adamski G. - F.S. have Landed 1953	13/6	or £2.00
Scully, F. - Behind the F.S.	14/-	-
Sykes, E. - F.S. & Negative Matter } Negative or Matter }	2/6	or £0.50
Wilkins, Dr.H.P. - Mysteries of Space & Time	16/-	or £2.60
Wilkins, H.T. - F.S. on the Moon 1954	17/-	or £2.60

Published in French

Guieu, J. - Mars Aller-Retour	18/-	or £2.90
Lenoir, M. - L'Espace Vaincu	7/6	or £1.20
Michel, Aime - Lueurs sur les S.V.	15/6	or £2.50
Plantier, Jean - La Propulsion des S.V.	11/-	or £1.50